REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesy of the interview during which the substance of the final rejections in this application were discussed. In light of these discussions, Applicants have submitted concurrently herewith an amendment which modifies the claim language to reflect the differences between the prior art of record and the subject matter of the instant invention.

In regard to item 1 in the office action, Applicants have set forth corrected drawings as requested by the Examiner. In response to the new grounds of rejection Applicants have canceled certain claims and amended other claims to further specify that the claimed adjustment is made in response to a determined bit error rate and that this adjustment occurs dynamically while reading user data from the disc. The prior art references of record, whether considered alone, or in combination, fail to either teach or suggest Applicants' presently claimed invention. More specifically, Applicants note that the Fuji reference, United States patent No. 6,392,970 is merely directed to a disc reproduction method wherein light variation occurs in a very specific manner. Significantly, however, the light quantity variation described in this reference does not occur dynamically in response to a measured bit error rate. Rather, as described in the Fuji references, test data is initially recorded on a disc and the test data is subsequently reproduced by changing the light reproducing quantity through a plurality of specific light quantity values. In accordance with this reference, for each value or signal level, a quality and quantity of the reproduction signals are then measured and an optimum reproducing signal quantity from the plurality of reproducing signal quantities previously tested is selected. Thereafter, this value is recorded on the disc is a target value which is to be used during subsequent disc operations. See, generally, the abstract of the disclosure and the detailed description. There is simply no teaching or suggestion, however, in this reference regarding Applicants' dynamic adjustment of the various factors now specified in the claims. Applicants have also canceled claimed 10 and the corresponding to dependent claims. Applicants submit that all claims now stand in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 20, 2003

Robert J. Deplee

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLC 131 South Dearborn, 30th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tel: (312) 422-9050 **Attorney for Applicants**

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States

Postal Service as Express Mail No. ET910544752, Post Office to Addressee, on May 20,

2003 in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attorney for Applicants

CHI1 #182857 v3